

CO₂ enrichment and increasing light intensity till a threshold level, enhance growth and water use efficiency of lettuce plants in controlled environment

Maryam ESMAILI¹, Sasan ALINIAEIFARD^{2*}, Mahmoud MASHAL¹,
Parisa GHORBANZADEH¹, Mehdi SEIF², Miguel U. GAVILAN³,
Francisca F. CARRILLO³, Oksana LASTOCHKINA^{4,5}, Tao LI^{6*}

¹University of Tehran, Department of Water Engineering, Aburaihan Campus, Tehran, Iran; maryam.esmaili1394@gmail.com; mmashal@ut.ac.ir; parisa.ghorbanzadeh72@gmail.com

²University of Tehran, Department of Horticulture, Aburaihan Campus, Tehran, Iran; aliniaiefard@ut.ac.ir (*corresponding author); mehdi.seif@ut.ac.ir

³University of Almeria, Department of Agronomy, Almeria, Spain; mgavilan@ual.es; Fcf109@ual.es

⁴Ufa Federal Research Centre of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Bashkir Research Institute of Agriculture, 450059 Ufa, Russia; oksanaibg@gamil.com

⁵Ufa Federal Research Centre of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Institute of Biochemistry and Genetics, 450054 Ufa, Russia

⁶Institute of Environment and Sustainable Development in Agriculture, China; litao06@caas.cn (*corresponding author)

Abstract

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) and light intensity are the two main environmental drivers known to play important roles in crop growth and yield. In the current study, lettuce seedlings were exposed to four different light intensities [(75, 150, 300 and 600 Photosynthetic Photon Flux Density (PPFD))] and four different concentrations of CO₂ (400, 800, 1200 and 1600 ppm). By increasing light intensity and CO₂ concentration growth parameters such as fresh weight, dry weight and leaf area were stepwise increased from 75 to 300 PPFD and from 400 ppm to 1200 ppm CO₂ concentration. Maximum fresh weight was observed in 300 PPFD under both 1200 ppm and 1600 ppm CO₂ concentrations. Highest dry weight was obtained in plants exposed to 300 and 600 PPFD under both 1200 and 1600 ppm CO₂ concentrations. Highest leaf area was detected in 300 PPFD under both 1200 and 1600 ppm CO₂ concentrations. Widest stomatal pore aperture was detected in 600 PPFD under 400 ppm and 800 ppm CO₂ concentrations. Evapotranspiration increased in a light intensity and CO₂ concentration-dependent manner; higher light intensity or higher CO₂ concentration, more evapotranspiration. Highest water use efficiency (WUE) was achieved in plants exposed to 300 PPFD under 1200 ppm CO₂ concentration. In conclusion, to achieve best growth performance and WUE, lettuce should be produced under 300 PPFD light intensity and 1200 ppm CO₂.

Keywords: carbon dioxide; lettuce; light intensity; stomatal properties; WUE

Introduction

Greenhouse industry is vastly expanding since it is an environment with high capacity of controlling environmental factors. Production of plants in greenhouses leads to improve in crop yield, prolonged production period, better product quality, and efficient use of chemicals (van Straten *et al.*, 2010). Nowadays, there is an increasing tendency to produce leafy vegetables in protected systems and plant factories (Kozai *et al.*, 2015). Plant growth, development and water requirement depend on many environmental parameters such as humidity, temperature, CO₂, and light (Brentrup *et al.*, 2001). Biomass production in plants directly depends on photosynthesis. Light and CO₂ are the two environmental cues that directly influence photosynthesis. Among environmental factors, light is the main and primary source of energy for plants (Aliniaefard *et al.*, 2018). Light has different attributes that affect plant growth, development, and water relations (Quail, 2002; Yu *et al.*, 2016; Aliniaefard *et al.*, 2018). Different properties of light including intensity, quality (spectrum) (Zou *et al.*, 2019) and duration (photoperiod) (Sørensen *et al.*, 2020) influence many aspects of plant growth and development (Bayat *et al.*, 2018). One aspect of light that is important for growing plant is its intensity, which attracted so much attention for plant production, especially in closed production systems (Centritto *et al.*, 2000; Kozai *et al.*, 2015). To fit the fluctuations in lighting environment, many adaptations are evolved to decrease/increase incident light to the leaf surface. These adaptations occur in morphological, physiological or biochemical levels (Zhang *et al.*, 2003; Zhao *et al.*, 2017; Aliniaefard and van Meeteren, 2018a). Increase or decrease in optimal light intensity can negatively influence photosynthesis and plant performance (Bowes *et al.*, 1972; Al-Khatib and Paulsen, 1989). Low light intensities lead to stem and leaf elongations and other morphological modifications to maximize absorption of the available light, meeting the demand for photosynthesis (Steinger *et al.*, 2003), while high light intensities cause plant compactness and reduction in leaf area expansion to decrease energy absorption in response to elevated irradiance (Givnish *et al.*, 2004; Morais *et al.*, 2004; Thomas *et al.*, 2004; Matos *et al.*, 2009). Since light has a direct effect on stomatal opening and as a result on transpiration and photosynthesis, therefore it is one of the main drivers of WUE of the plants (Giday *et al.*, 2014; van Meeteren and Aliniaefard, 2016; Lanoue *et al.*, 2017; Aliniaefard and van Meeteren, 2018b).

CO₂ is an essential input for crop photosynthesis. Elevated CO₂ causes significant impact on crop growth and production in greenhouses (Fanourakis *et al.*, 2011; Li-quan *et al.*, 2016). It has been reported that increase in CO₂ concentration leads to numerous physiological and morphological changes in plants, in particular changes in photosynthesis, nutrient uptake and translocation, stomatal properties, gene expression and enzyme activity and consequently water use efficiency have been reported (Ainsworth *et al.*, 2007; Damatta *et al.*, 2010; Tausz *et al.*, 2013; Vahdati *et al.*, 2017). These processes are expected to improve crop growth, water status, water requirement and finally WUE of the plants. CO₂ enrichment may affect plant's transpiration through changing stomatal properties (Lemon, 1983; Idso *et al.*, 1988; Vahdati *et al.*, 2017). Former investigation on CO₂ elevation in greenhouses and other closed production systems suggested that CO₂ elevation promote photosynthesis and alters stomatal morphology and behavior (Long *et al.*, 2004; Long *et al.*, 2006; Vahdati *et al.*, 2017). Elevation in CO₂ promotes plants growth, and crops yield by increase in net photosynthetic rate and inhibition of photorespiration in C₃ plants (Jitla *et al.*, 1997; Long *et al.*, 2004; Rogers *et al.*, 2004; Wu *et al.*, 2004).

It has been reported that stomatal aperture widens by increase in light intensity, while it decreases as a result of exposure to elevated CO₂ concentration; both control transpiration in the plants (Franks and Beerling, 2009) and consequently WUE. Long-term effect of both elevated CO₂ concentrations and different light intensities on growth and WUE has been extensively studied. However, detailed investigation on the effects of these two main drivers of photosynthesis on stomatal properties and WUE are scarce. Therefore, the objectives of the present study were to: I) investigate the effect of different light intensities and CO₂ enrichments on growth and stomatal properties during lettuce growth under controlled conditions, II) Evaluation of impact of different light intensities and CO₂ enrichments on evapotranspiration and WUE of

lettuce and III) Find the best light intensity and concentration of CO₂ for culture of lettuce in closed production system.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and growth condition

Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* cv. 'Partavousi') seeds were germinated in trays filled with a mixture of cocopeat and perlite (3:1, V:V) in a growth chamber under 250 photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD; determined using Sekonic C7000, Japan). When two true leaves were emerged, the seedlings were transplanted into plastic pots with 15 cm diameter and 20 cm depth containing cocopeat; then placed in environment-controlled growth chambers. The plastic pots were filled with soil and placed in 16 growth chambers (1 × w × h = 1 m × 1 m × 1 m) with combination of red and blue LEDs with peaks at 600 nm to 685 nm for red and 415 nm to 500 nm for blue LEDs. LED light panels were used to make different light intensities inside the growth chambers and also to restrict production of heat by the light sources. Same combination of red and blue LEDs (3:1) was used in all 16 growth chambers, since based on the previous reports they are the main light spectra for photosynthesis and growth of lettuce plants (Pennisi *et al.*, 2019). The lighting period was 12/12 h light/darkness. All of the growth chambers had a temperature of 25±2 °C, and a relative air humidity of 50±5%. Ambient CO₂ was 400 ppm (determined by Trotec, BZ30, Germany), for adjusting higher concentrations, CO₂ was injected by CO₂ gas capsules into the growth chambers. The CO₂ concentration was controlled by solenoid valves, timer and air CO₂ sensor and it was recorded by air CO₂ sensor data logger (Trotec, BZ30, Germany) every 2 seconds.

Plants were irrigated with half-strength of Hoagland solution for the first 10 days after transplantation, thereafter irrigation was done with modified full Hoagland solution (Table 1).

Table 1. Nutrient solution ingredients that was used for growing lettuce plants

Ingredients	Concentration (mg L ⁻¹) for Stoke 1 Molar	Volume for 1 L
KH ₂ PO ₄	136.1	1 ml
KNO ₃	101.1	5 ml
Ca(NO ₃).4H ₂ O	236.1	5 ml
MgSO ₄ .7H ₂ O	246.5	2 ml
H ₃ BO ₃	2.86	1 ml
MnCl ₂ .4H ₂ O	1.81	1 ml
CUSO ₄ .5H ₂ O	0.051	1 ml
H ₃ MoO ₃ .H ₂ O	0.09	1 ml
Na ₂ MoO ₄ .2H ₂ O	0.12	1 ml
ZnSO ₄ .5H ₂ O	0.22	1 ml
FeEDDHA	4.04	0.01 ml

Experimental treatments under different light intensities and CO₂ enrichment

This experiment was carried out based on a factorial experiment. It has been shown that light intensity more than 600 PPFD induces serious stress on lettuce plants (Fu *et al.*, 2012) therefore, four different light intensities including 75, 150, 300 and 600 PPFD (determined using Sekonic C7000, Japan) were used in the growth chambers. Four different levels of CO₂ including 400, 800, 1200 and 1600 ppm were also used (CO₂ sensor, Trotec, BZ30, Germany) (Figure 1). Generally, there were 16 different treatments and in each growth chamber, twelve pots were placed under each treatment, in total 192 pots were used for this experiment.

For subsequent irrigations, pots were used as a micro-lysimeter. In this method, irrigation water rate was calculated by difference in the weight of pot in two times of irrigation which was the amount of evapotranspiration from every pot.




Figure 1. Example of concentration of CO₂ during the lettuce growth period

Measurements and calculations

For growth analysis, leaves of three plants per each treatment were detached every 10 days and their fresh and dry weights were recorded. For measuring leaf area, the leaves were scanned by a scanner (HP Scanjet G4010) to obtain leaf surface area using Digimizer software (Digimizer V 4.1.1.0). Eventually, they were dried at 72 °C for 72 h until a constant mass was reached and weighted. To calculate specific leaf area (SLA), after measuring leaf area and leaf dry weight, it was calculated using the following equation (Aliniaiefard *et al.*, 2016):

$$SLA = (\text{leaf area}) / (\text{leaf dry weight})$$

To determine irrigation water use efficiency (WUE), the amount of water used during growth period was recorded. Under control condition, the relationship between the soil water evaporation and plant transpiration is inseparable (Ma *et al.*, 2013). The water in the soil is the source of soil water evaporation. To measure the evaporation from the soil, in the absence of the plants soil evaporation was measured with the weighing method for all the pots. The lower limit for the soil was found to be 32% and the upper limit was 48% which was calculated in the lab by pressure plate instrument. The soil was irrigated when soil water content was below the lower limit. The WUE was calculated by using the following equation (Karam *et al.*, 2007):

$$WUE = (\text{Dry weight}) / (\text{Consumed water})$$

For investigation of stomatal morphological traits (stomatal length, stomatal width, and pore width), young fully developed leaves were used for stomatal measurement according to Aliniaiefard and van Meeteren (2016). Sampling was done in the middle of the leaf in the area between the tip and the base and away from the edges. For preparing the samples, the lower epidermis was coated by a thin layer of nail polish. After 5 min when the polish was dried a strip of transparent sticky type was used to take the dried polished for microscopic analysis. Image were taken by Omax topview software version 3.5 and further analyzed by using ImageJ software (U.S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD; <http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>) to measure stomatal length, stomatal width, and pore aperture. For this measurement 100 stomata were analyzed for each treatment.

Statistical analysis

The data were evaluated by SAS software for windows (version 9.3) to determine significant differences. For analyzing growth parameters, data obtained from measurements of three plants for each treatment (per each time of harvesting) were used, and Duncan multiple comparisons test was used to compare the means.

Results

Increasing light intensity and CO₂ enrichment promote growth in lettuce

Light intensity and CO₂ levels significantly influenced lettuce growth parameters (Table 2). The result showed 20 days after onset of the experiment, plants exposed to 300 PPFD light and 1200 ppm CO₂ as well as those exposed to 600 PPFD light and 1200 ppm CO₂ had the highest fresh weight (Figure 2C), while plants exposed to 75 PPFD light under all CO₂ concentrations exhibited the lowest fresh weight (Figure 2A). At the day 30 all those plants exposed to 300 (except for 400 ppm CO₂) and 600 PPFD light intensities had higher fresh weight than fresh weight of those exposed to 75 and 150 PPFD light intensities. At the day 40, plants exposed to higher light intensities and CO₂ concentrations had better growth performance than those exposed to lower light and CO₂ levels (Figure 4). The fresh weight of lettuce increased by an increase in light intensity from 75 PPFD to 300 PPFD under all CO₂ concentrations; however, exposure to 600 PPFD light intensity had a negative influence on the fresh weight in comparison with the fresh weight of lettuce under 300 PPFD (Figure 4A). Under 600 PPFD light intensity, fresh weight increased in a CO₂ concentration-dependent manner. The highest fresh weight was detected in 300 PPFD light intensity and 1200 ppm concentration of CO₂ (Figure 4A), this increase was 54.74% more than the fresh weight of plants under standard condition (300 PPFD light intensity and 400 ppm CO₂). Lowest fresh weight was obtained from treatment with the lowest light intensity and CO₂ concentration, 75 PPFD light intensity and 400 ppm CO₂ concentration (Figure 4A).

For the dry weight, plants exposed to 600 PPFD light intensity and 1600 ppm concentration of CO₂ had considerably higher dry weight in whole through the growth period before the final harvest (10, 20 and 30 days after start of the treatments) when compared to dry weight of plants exposed to other light or CO₂ levels (Figure 3D). The lowest dry weight belonged to the plants exposed to 75 PPFD light intensity irrespective of CO₂ concentrations (Figure 3A). At the last harvest, similar results were obtained for the lettuce dry weight as those obtained for the fresh weight. Plants grown under 75 PPFD light intensity and 400 ppm CO₂ concentration had the minimum dry weight among plants grown under other light intensities and CO₂ concentrations, which was 79% decrease compared to the control treatment (Table 3). In conclusion, the effect of light intensity and CO₂ enrichment on dry weight was positive and there was a considerable increase in dry weight with the best result at 300 PPFD light intensity and 1200 ppm CO₂ (Table 3).

Table 2. Analysis of variance (P values) for assessed parameters for lettuce grown under different light intensities and CO₂ concentrations

Independent variables	Degree of freedom	Mean squares										
		Fresh weight	Dry weight	Leaf area	Leaf area index	Evapotranspiration	WUE	Stomatal length	Stomatal width	Pore length	Pore width	Stomatal density
Light intensity	3	14340.38**	111.28**	4843588.3**	524720.1**	1985139.02**	15.72**	10.37*	0.38 ^{ns}	2.38 ^{ns}	8.251**	10125.43**
CO ₂	3	1938.83**	24.43**	2571476.9**	41850.78 ^{ns}	150237.076**	3.72**	57.61**	21.94**	22.67**	2.82**	3245.41 ^{ns}
Light intensity * CO ₂	9	312.03*	2.83*	220788.72**	44239.79 ^{ns}	88173.87**	2.40 ^{ns}	14.08**	5.50**	2.82 ^{ns}	1.27**	4205.09 ^{ns}
Error	32	6.166	1.51	26764.13	29970.93	11885.04	0.305	2.97	0.91	1.96	0.47	4.56


*Significant at 5% probability level,

** Significant at 1% probability level,


ns No significant

Table 3. The percentage of changes imposed by different light intensities and CO₂ concentrations on biomass and WUE of lettuce in comparison with the control treatment (300 PPFD light intensity and 800 ppm CO₂ concentration) after 40 days of growth


Treatment	Fresh weight	Dry weight	Leaf area	Leaf area index	Evapo-transpiration	WUE
75 PPFD, 400 ppm	-74.73	-79.12	-62.92	+77.57	-39.5	-71.28
75 PPFD, 800 ppm	-75.86	-73.04	-55.28	+73.73	-39.62	-62.35
75 PPFD, 1200 ppm	-69.33	-63.79	-30.40	+115.02	-37.35	-54
75 PPFD, 1600 ppm	-57.91	-57.80	-27.57	+73.13	-37.98	-42.74
150 PPFD, 400 ppm	-61.53	-61.15	-50.18	+29.64	-28.68	-51.73
150 PPFD, 800 ppm	-16	-47.67	+15.51	+147.37	-27.29	-40.45
150 PPFD, 1200 ppm	-8.26	-10.93	+20.51	+57.31	-22.75	-3.13
150 PPFD, 1600 ppm	-31.15	-19.12	-2.31	+21.83	-37.58	-10.19
300 PPFD, 400 ppm (Control)	0	0	0	0	0	0
300 PPFD, 800 ppm	+6.37	+31.63	+60.48	+22.35	+2.45	+32.40
300 PPFD, 1200 ppm	+54.74	+147.87	+88.69	-14.12	+21.06	+98.53
300 PPFD, 1600 ppm	+54.67	+113.66	+88.41	-11.15	+20.78	+86.94
600 PPFD, 400 ppm	-6.02	+34.71	-20.39	-8.44	-6.03	+31.08
600 PPFD, 800 ppm	+9.89	+68.37	+2.44	-38.98	+21.97	+46.13
600 PPFD, 1200 ppm	+19.51	+147.67	+77.93	-71.1	+29.68	+84.19
600 PPFD, 1600 ppm	+36.95	+147.77	+59.12	-34.61	+54.48	+83.57




(A)



(B)




(C)




(D)


Figure 2. Effect of different light intensities and CO₂ concentrations 400 (A), 800 (B), 1200 (C) and 1600 (D) ppm on dry weight of lettuce harvested at 10, 20, 30 and 40 days after application of treatments




(A)



(B)




(C)



(D)

Figure 3. Effect of different light intensities and CO₂ concentrations 400 (A), 800 (B), 1200 (C) and 1600 (D) ppm on dry weight of lettuce harvested at 10, 20, 30 and 40 days after application of treatments



(A)




Figure 4. Effect of different light intensities and CO₂ enrichment on fresh weight (A), dry weight (B) and leaf area (C) after 40 days of lettuce growth

Leaf area influenced by different light intensities and CO₂ enrichments

Leaf area of lettuce was considerably influenced by light intensity and CO₂ concentration (significant at 1% probability level) (Table 2). Largest leaf area was obtained in plants grown at 300 PPFD light intensity under 1200 ppm and 1600 ppm CO₂ concentrations. Leaf area reached to its maximum as a result of exposure to 1200 ppm CO₂ in all light intensities. In general, increasing light intensity and CO₂ concentration resulted in increase in the leaf area of lettuce plants (Figure 4C).

CO₂ enrichment did not affect SLA, while light intensity had a significant influence on SLA (Table 2). SLA decreased significantly by increasing light intensity ($P < 0.01$) (Table 2). There was a negative relationship ($R^2=0.91$) between light intensity and SLA. By increase in light intensity from 75 to 600 PPFD, SLA gradually decreased; the highest SLA was detected in 75 PPFD and the lowest SLA was obtained in plants that were grown under 600 PPFD (Figure 5).




Figure 5. Effect of different light intensities on specific leaf area (SLA) of lettuce plants

Stomatal morphology altered by light intensity and CO₂ enrichment


Stomata on the lettuce leaf that developed under 300 and 600 PPFD and 1600 ppm CO₂ concentration had shorter length in comparison with stomata that developed under standard condition. Except for 150 PPFD light intensity, under all other light intensities CO₂ enrichment negatively influenced the stomatal length. The shortest length of stomata was observed in plant grown under 600 PPFD light intensity and 1600 ppm CO₂ concentration (Figure 6A).

There was a significant interaction between light intensity and CO₂ concentration on the width of stomata and the width of its pore (significant at 1% probability level) (Table 2). The width of stomata and its pore aperture was decreased as a result of increasing CO₂ concentration under 300 and 600 PPFD light intensities (Figures 6B, C). Shortest pore aperture was detected under lowest light intensity and 1200 ppm CO₂, widest stomatal and pore widths were detected as a result of exposure to 400 ppm and 800 ppm CO₂ under 600 PPFD light intensity (Figure 6C). In plants that were grown under 300 PPFD, the stomatal density was the lowest compared to the stomatal density in the plants grown under other light intensities (Figure 6D).


Evapotranspiration and WUE increased by elevating light intensity and CO₂ concentration

Light intensity and CO₂ concentration strongly influenced evapotranspiration (significant at 1% probability level) (Table 2). Evapotranspiration increased at 300 PPFD and 600 PPFD by CO₂ enrichment (Figure 7A). Under highest light intensity, evapotranspiration increased in a CO₂ concentration-dependent manner. Evapotranspiration did not change by exposure to different CO₂ concentrations under 75 PPFD light intensity. Highest evapotranspiration belonged to plants exposed to highest light intensity and CO₂ concentration. In this experiment, evapotranspiration was not increased by CO₂ enrichment at lowest light intensity.


The result showed that the simple effects of light intensity and CO₂ enrichment significantly affected WUE of lettuce plants (significant at 1% probability level), while their interactions did not result in significant effect on WUE (Table 2). The percentage of variation indicated that WUE elevated by increasing light intensity from 75 to 600 PPFD (Table 3). Moreover, the effect of CO₂ enrichment on WUE is more than light intensity (Table 3). At 300 PPFD light intensity, WUE in plants that were grown under 1200 ppm was approximately 3.9 g L⁻¹ (Figure 7B) that was two times higher than WUE in plants that were grown under 400 ppm (Figure 7C).




(A)



(B)




(C)




(D)


Figure 6. Effects of different light intensities and CO₂ concentrations on stomatal length (A), stomatal width, (B) pore width (C) and stomatal density (D) after 40 days of lettuce growth



(A)



(B)



(C)

Figure 7. Effect of different light intensities and CO₂ concentrations on Evapotranspiration (A), effect of different light intensities on WUE (B) and effect of different concentrations of CO₂ on WUE (C) after 40 days of lettuce growth

Discussion

Plant growth parameters were significantly influenced by light intensity and CO₂ enrichment (Table 2). Increasing light intensity and CO₂ concentration resulted in best lettuce growth performance in 300 PPFD and 1200 ppm CO₂. The reason why increasing light intensity and CO₂ concentration resulted in a peak in biomass till a threshold level (300 PPFD light intensity and 1200 ppm CO₂ concentration); in a way that more than this threshold level biomass was decreased, is related to gas exchange mechanism of lettuce response to light intensity and CO₂ concentration. One of the most important effects of CO₂ enrichment is decreasing stomatal conductance while on a same time increasing plant photosynthetic rate. It has been shown that rate of CO₂ uptake in plants is particularly sensitive to CO₂ concentration in the environment (Farquhar *et al.*, 1980; Pearcy and Bjorkman, 1983). Proper range of light intensity promotes development of photosynthetic performance on the leaves (Prioul *et al.*, 1980). Both high and low light intensities make an inefficient electron transport in the photosynthesis system (Fu *et al.*, 2012). Thus, by application of high CO₂ concentration, the leaf carbon balance will improve under low light intensities. It has been reported that the rate of photosynthesis is positively correlated with the CO₂ concentrations under given light intensity (Pan *et al.*, 2019). At the highest light intensity and CO₂ concentration in the present study (600 PPFD and 1600 ppm CO₂) the lettuce fresh weight declined (Table 3). Although increasing light intensity will enhance the efficiency of CO₂ utilization and increase the rate of photosynthesis and plant growth (Poudel and Dunn, 2017). As indicated before, the rate of photosynthesis cannot be further improved after certain intensity of light termed as the light saturation point, which is the highest intensity of light that a plant can use to boost its rate of net photosynthesis. Therefore, additional CO₂ than the threshold levels is surplus and probably negatively influenced other plant processes. It seems increasing CO₂ concentration and light intensity together helped to improve light saturation point and as a result of higher photosynthesis more biomass was produced by lettuce plants. In accordance with the obtained results, Pan *et al.* (2019) reported that increasing light intensity and CO₂ concentration had a positive effect on growth and dry matter accumulation through improving the photosynthetic performance of the plants.

Leaf area of lettuce was also significantly altered by changes in light intensities and concentration of CO₂. Increase in light intensity and CO₂ enrichment resulted in expansion in lettuce leaf area. Leaf area under

high concentration of CO₂ and 300 PPFD light intensity reached to its maximum expansion (Table 3). However, it seems for the leaf area there was threshold levels for light intensity and CO₂ concentration. In this way, leaf area increased by increasing both light intensity and CO₂ concentration till 300 PPFD light intensity and 1200 ppm CO₂ concentration, but it declined in the highest light intensity (600 PPFD) and CO₂ concentration (1600 ppm). That reason is related to the rate of photosynthesis and different types of lettuce reaction to different light intensities (Pan *et al.*, 2019). The plant response to light intensity and CO₂ enrichment is not linear (Hoittenschwiler and Korner, 1996). The previous studies showed that despite of positive effect of increasing light intensity and CO₂ concentration, the rate of photosynthesis would not further increase by plant exposure to very high light intensities and concentrations of CO₂ (Ho, 1977; Ito, 1978; Clough *et al.*, 1981; Caporn, 1989; Sage *et al.*, 1989). SLA was decreased by plant exposure to higher light intensities. This indicated that plants invest less biomass to develop leaf area due to absorption of enough light energy under higher light intensities, while invest more biomass to develop leaf area in order to receive enough light energy under low light intensities (Pan *et al.*, 2019).

Stomata are the main paths for exchange of gases and water vapor between internal plant tissues and surrounding environment (van Meeteren and Aliniaiefard, 2016). It is well documented that the light intensity affects both stomatal closing ability and anatomy. Sensitivity of stomata depends on the light intensity and CO₂ concentration (Fanourakis *et al.*, 2019A; James and Csiro, 1985). In this study, different light intensities and concentrations of CO₂ caused alterations in stomatal anatomical characteristics (Table 2). Alternation in stomatal characteristics due to leaf development under certain environmental conditions has also been previously reported (Savvides *et al.*, 2012; Aliniaiefard and Van Meeteren, 2016; van Meeteren and Aliniaiefard, 2016; Asayesh *et al.*, 2017; Fanourakis *et al.*, 2019B). Stomatal development and its morphological characteristics are influenced by light intensity and CO₂ concentration during the plant growth (Lee *et al.*, 2007). High stomatal conductance and leaf hydraulic conductance were detected in plants grown under high light intensity in comparison with those grown under lower light intensity (Sack, 2004). In agreement with the previous studies (Gorton *et al.*, 1993; Thomas *et al.*, 2004), our results showed alterations in stomatal characteristics due to exposure to different light intensities. We found a gradual increase in stomatal pore width with increase in light intensity at lower CO₂ concentrations but it slightly decreased in the high light intensities and lower CO₂ concentrations (Figure 6C). Increase in stomatal pore aperture can promote stomatal conductance (Lee *et al.*, 2007). However, decrease in stomatal pore aperture due to limited light intensity could restrain photosynthesis by increasing diffusive resistance to CO₂ uptake (Lawson *et al.*, 2010). CO₂ flows from the atmosphere to intercellular air spaces through the stomatal pores, and diffuses across the wall, plasmalemma, cytosol and finally to the chloroplast envelope for utilization in photosynthesis. The partial pressure of CO₂ in the intercellular air spaces is controlled by stomatal openings. Generally, as ambient CO₂ partial pressures increases, stomata tend to close (Jarvis *et al.*, 1999).

WUE influenced by both anatomical (leaf area) and physiological (stomatal conductance) drivers (Giday *et al.*, 2014). Based on the obtained results, biomass production increased by elevation in light intensity and CO₂ enrichment. Stomata are the main channels for the water vapor loss in the transpiration process (van Meeteren and Aliniaiefard, 2016); therefore, alterations in stomatal morphology can influence WUE of plant (Fan *et al.*, 2013). Stomata are the main plant structure that control photosynthesis and transpiration, the two main gas exchange processes determining the WUE of the plants. Stomata as the gas exchanges portals control temperature and WUE of the plants (Li *et al.*, 2017). Stomatal aperture is also one of the most important determinants of maximal stomatal conductance in plants (Franks *et al.*, 2009). A reaction to light independent of CO₂ concentration was postulated by Heath and Russell (1954) to explain opening due to increased light intensity which occurred while the intercellular space CO₂ concentration was maintained at a low level.

Evapotranspiration is introduced as the loss of water to the atmosphere by the combined processes of evaporation from the soil and plant surfaces and transpiration from the plants (Aytek, 2008). It is essential to measure evapotranspiration for managing water resources in agriculture (Tabari *et al.*, 2012). Furthermore, it is one of the most important parameters that explain water relationship between plant and the environment.

Stomatal conductance depends on the balance between internal and ambient CO₂ concentrations (C_i/C_a). This balance closely depends on the ambient CO₂ concentration. Elevated C_a through increasing C_i eventually leads to decrease in stomatal pore aperture and as a result increases WUE (Pazzagli *et al.*, 2016). Furthermore, the growth parameters induced by increasing CO₂ concentration are directly related to water status in different plant species (Robredo *et al.*, 2007). In the present study, WUE was increased in a light intensity- and CO₂ concentration-dependent manner. The stomata of plants that were exposed to higher light intensity and CO₂ concentration were closer than the stomatal opening of the plants exposed to lower light intensities and CO₂ concentrations, which resulted in higher WUE in plants exposed to higher light intensity and CO₂ concentration. In the present study, minimum stomatal pore aperture was detected in plants exposed to 300 PPF and 1200 ppm CO₂ concentration, which resulted in maximum WUE (Table 3). The major effects of increased C_a will be increased CO₂ assimilation rate and decreased transpiration rate, the two responses leading to increased WUE (carbon gain/unit water lost). Although it may deduce somewhat contradictory that an increase in WUE are concomitant with an increase in growth of plants with limited water supplies (James and Csiro, 1985). It has been reported that CO₂ enrichment significantly enhances both WUE and photosynthesis while decreases evapotranspiration (Woodward *et al.*, 2001). Therefore, alteration in stomata morphological characteristics as a result of exposure to elevated CO₂ concentration results in limited water loss but simultaneously provide the CO₂ demand for photosynthesis and improves WUE.

Conclusions

To optimize plant growth, it is important to establish proper environmental conditions such as lighting environment and CO₂ concentration. In commercial production, the supplemental lighting and CO₂ enrichment may heavily influence growth and quality of crops and as a result WUE. The result of present study showed that increasing light intensity and CO₂ concentration resulted in better growth performance in lettuce plants. The best growth performance for lettuce plants was obtained by growing them at 300 PPF light intensity and 1200 ppm CO₂. Furthermore, stomatal length and stomata width decreased, while the WUE was increased by this treatment. Increasing light intensity and CO₂ concentration caused significant improvement in growth of lettuce plants. However, further increase above a threshold level (300 PPF light intensity and 1200 ppm CO₂) imposed negative effects on the growth properties of lettuce plants.

Acknowledgements

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interests

We would like to thank The National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.31872955), Iran National Science Foundation (INSF) (grant number 96006991), and University of Tehran for their support.

References

- Ainsworth EA, Rogers A, Leakey ADB, Heady LE, Gibon Y, Stitt M, Schurr U (2007). Does elevated atmospheric [CO₂] alter diurnal C uptake and the balance of C and N metabolites in growing and fully expanded soybean leaves? *Experimental Botany* 58(3):579-591. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erl233>
- Aliniaiefard S, Hajilou J, Tabatabaei SJ (2016). Photosynthetic and growth responses of olive to proline and salicylic acid under salinity condition. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca* 44(2):579-585. <https://doi.org/10.15835/nbha44210413>
- Aliniaiefard S, Seif, M, Arab M, Zare Mehrjerdi M, Li T, Lastochkina O (2018). Growth and photosynthetic performance of *Calendula officinalis* under monochromatic red light. *International Journal of Horticultural Science and Technology* 5(1):123-132. <https://doi.org/10.22059/IJHST.2018.261042.248>
- Aliniaiefard S, van Meeteren U (2016). Stomatal characteristics and desiccation response of leaves of cut chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium*) flowers grown at high air humidity. *Scientia Horticulture* 205:84-89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2016.04.025>
- Aliniaiefard S, van Meeteren U (2018 b). Greenhouse vapor pressure deficit and lighting conditions during growth can influence postharvest quality through the functioning of stomata. *Acta Horticulture* 1227:677-684. <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2018.1227.86>
- Aliniaiefard S, Van Meeteren U (2018a). Natural genetic variation in stomatal response can help to increase acclimation of plants to dried environments. *Acta Horticulture* 1190:71-76. <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2018.1190.12>
- Al-Khatib K, Paulsen GM (1989). Enhancement of thermal injury to photosynthesis in wheat plants and thylakoids by high light intensity. *Plant Physiology* 90(3):1041-1048. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.90.3.1041>
- Asayesh ZM, Vahdati K, Aliniaiefard S (2017). Enhancement of *ex vitro* acclimation of walnut plantlets through modification of stomatal characteristics *in vitro*. *Scientia Horticulture* 220:114-121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2017.03.045>
- Aytek A (2008). Co-active neurofuzzy inference system for evapotranspiration modeling. *Soft Computer* 13(7):691. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-008-0342-8>
- Bayat L, Arab M, Aliniaiefard S, Seif M, Lastochkina O, Li T (2018). Effects of growth under different light spectra on the subsequent high light tolerance in rose plants. *AoB Plants* 10(5):52. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/ply052>
- Bowes G, Ogren W, Hageman, R (1972). Light saturation, photosynthesis rate, RuDP carboxylase activity, and specific leaf weight in soybeans grown under different light intensities. *Crop Science* 12(1):77-79. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1972.0011183X001200010025x>
- Brentrup F, Küsters J, Kuhlmann H, Lammel J (2001). Application of the life cycle assessment methodology to agricultural production: an example of sugar beet production with different forms of nitrogen fertilizers. *Agronomy* 14(3):221-233. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1161-0301\(00\)00098-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1161-0301(00)00098-8)
- Caporn SJM (1989). The effects of oxides of nitrogen and carbon dioxide enrichment on photosynthesis and growth of lettuce (*Lactuca saliva* L.). *New Phytologist* 111(3):473-481. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1989.tb00710.x>
- Cetrutto M, Loreto F, Massacci A, Pietrini F, Villani M, Zacchini M (2000). Improved growth and water use efficiency of cherry saplings under reduced light intensity. *Ecological Research* 15(4):385-392. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1440-1703.2000.00359.x>
- Clough J, Peet M, Kramer P (1981). Effects of high atmospheric CO₂ and sink size on rates of photosynthesis of a soybean cultivar. *Plant Physiology* 67(5):1007-1010.
- Damatta FM, Grandis A, Arenque BC, Buckeridge MS (2010). Impacts of climate changes on crop physiology and food quality. *Food Research International* 43(7):1814-1823. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2009.11.001>
- Fan X-X, Xu Z-G, Liu X-Y, Tang C-M, Wang L-W, Han X (2013). Effect of light intensity on the growth and leaf development of young tomato plants grown under a combination of red and blue light. *Science Horticulturae* 153:50-55.
- Fanourakis D, Giday H, Hyldgaard B, Bouranis D, Körner O, Ottosen C-O (2019 B). Low air humidity during growth promotes stomatal closure ability in roses. *European Journal of Horticultural Science* 84(4):245-252. <https://doi.org/10.17660/eJHS.2019/84.4.7>

- Fanourakis D, Hyldgaard B, Giday H, Aulik I, Bouranis D, Körner O, Ottosen C-O (2019 A). Stomatal anatomy and closing ability is affected by supplementary light intensity in rose (*Rosa hybrida* L.). Horticultural Science 46(2):81-89. <https://doi.org/10.17221/144/2017-HORTSCI>
- Fanourakis D, Maaswinkel RHM, Carvalho SMP, Heuvelink E (2011). Genotypic variation of cut chrysanthemum response to high CO₂ concentration: Growth, time to flowering and visual quality. Acta Horticulture 893:839-848. <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2011.893.92>
- Farquhar GD, Von Caemmerer S, Berry JA (1980). A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. Planta 149(1):78-90. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00386231>
- Franks P, Beerling D (2009). Maximum leaf conductance driven by CO₂ effects on stomatal size and density over geologic time. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106(25):10343-10347. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0904209106>
- Fu W, Li P, Wu Y (2012). Effects of different light intensities on chlorophyll fluorescence characteristics and yield in lettuce. Scientia Horticulturae 135:45-51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2011.12.004>
- Giday H, Fanourakis D, Kjaer KH, Fomsgaard IS, Ottosen CO (2014) Threshold response of stomatal closing ability to leaf abscisic acid concentration during growth. Journal of Experimental Botany 65(15):4361-4370. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru216>
- Givi J, Prasher S, Patel R (2004). Evaluation of pedotransfer functions in predicting the soil water contents at field capacity and wilting point. Agriculture Water Management 70(2):83-96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2004.06.009>
- Givnish TJ, Montgomery RA, Goldstein G (2004). Adaptive radiation of photosynthetic physiology in the *Hawaiian Lobeliads*: light regimes, static light responses, and whole-plant compensation points. Botany 91(2):228-246. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.91.2.228>
- Gorton HL, Willimas WE, Assmann SM (1993). Circadian rhythms in stomatal responsiveness to red and blue light. Plant Physiology 103(2):399-406. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.2.399>
- Heath OV, Russell J (1954). Studies in stomatal behavior: VI. An investigation of the light responses of wheat stomata with the attempted elimination of control by the mesophyll. Part 2. Interactions with external carbon dioxide and general discussion. Journal of Experimental Botany 5(2):269-292. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/5.2.269>
- Ho LC (1977). Effects of CO₂ enrichment on the rates of photosynthesis and translocation of tomato leaves. Annals of Applied Biology 87(2):191-200. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.1977.tb01875.x>
- Hoittenschwiler S, Korner C (1996). Effects of elevated CO₂ and increased nitrogen deposition on photosynthesis and growth of understory plants in spruce model ecosystems. Oecologia 106(2):172-180. <https://doi.org/10.1007%2F00328596>
- Idsi SB, Limball BA, Mauney JR (1988). Effect of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment on root: Shoot ratios of carrot, radish, cotton and soybean. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 21(3-4):293-299.
- Ito T (1978). Physiological aspects of carbon dioxide enrichment to cucumber plants grown in greenhouses. In: Symposium on Potential Productivity in Protected Cultivation 87:139-146.
- Jitla DS, Rogers GS, Seneweera SP, Basra AS, Oldfield RJ, Conroy JP (1997). Accelerated early growth of rice at elevated CO₂. Is it related to developmental change in the shoot apex? Plant Physiology 115(1):15-22. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.115.1.15>
- Karam F, Lahoud R, Masaad R, Kabalan R, Breidi J, Chalita C, Roupheal Y (2007). Evapotranspiration, seed yield and water use efficiency of drip irrigated sunflower under full and deficit irrigation conditions. Agricultural Water Management 90(3):213-223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2007.03.009>
- Kozai T, Niu G, Takagaki M (2015). Plant factory: an indoor vertical farming system for efficient quality food production. Academic Press.
- Lanoue J, Leonardos ED, Ma X, Grodzinski B (2017). The effect of spectral quality on daily patterns of gas exchange, biomass gain, and water-use-efficiency in tomatoes and lisianthus: an assessment of whole plant measurements. Frontiers in Plant Science 8:1076. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01076>
- Lawson T, Von Caemmerer S, Baroli I (2010). Photosynthesis and stomatal behaviour. Progress in Botany 72:265-304. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-13145-5_11
- Lee SH, Tewari RK, Hahn E-J, Paek KY, Tissue PC, Culture O (2007). Photon flux density and light quality induce changes in growth, stomatal development, photosynthesis and transpiration of (*Withania somnifera* L.) Dunal plantlets. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture 90(2):141-151. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-006-9191-2>
- Lemon ER (1983). The response of plants to rising levels of atmospheric carbon dioxide. Westview Press, USA.

- Li J, Li Y, Yin Z, Jiang J, Zhang M, Guo X, ... Li Z (2017). OsASR5 enhances drought tolerance through a stomatal closure pathway associated with ABA and H₂O₂ signaling in rice. *Plant Biotechnology* 15(2):183-196. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12601>
- Li-Quan J, Yan-Zhen W, Shi-Teng Z, Yun-Xia W, Yu-Long W, Lian-Xin Y (2016). Effects of CO₂ enrichment and spikelet removal on rice quality under open-air field conditions. *Integrative Agriculture* 15(9):2012-2022. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(15\)61245-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(15)61245-X)
- Long SP, Ainsworth EA, Leakey AD, Nösberger J, Ort DR (2006). Food for thought: lower-than-expected crop yield stimulation with rising CO₂ concentrations. *Science* 312(5782):1918-1921. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.111472>
- Long SP, Ainsworth EA, Rogers A, Ort DR (2004). Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide: plants FACE the future. *Annual Reviews in Plant Biology* 55:591-628. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.55.031903.141610>
- Ma L, He C, Wang Zh (2013). The research for the greenhouse water evaporation based on environmental factors. *Advance Journal of Food and Technology* 5:1049-1054. <https://doi.org/10.19026/AJFST.5.3203>
- Matos FS, Wolfgramm R, Gonçalves FV, Cavatte PC, Ventrella MC, DaMatta FM (2009). Phenotypic plasticity in response to light in the coffee tree. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 67(2):421-427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2009.06.018>
- Morais H, Medri ME, Marur CJ, Caramori PH, Ribeiro AM, Gomes JC (2004). Modifications on leaf anatomy of *Coffea arabica* caused by shade of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*). *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology* 47(6):863-871. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132004000600005>
- Morison JIL (1985). Sensitivity of stomata and water use efficiency to high CO₂. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 8(6):467-474. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1985.tb01682.x>
- Pan T, Wang Y, Wang L, Ding J, Cao Y, Qin G, ... Zou Z (2020). Increased CO₂ and light intensity regulate growth and leaf gas exchange in tomato. *Physiologia Plantarum* 9:756. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00756>
- Pazzagli PT, Weiner J, Liu F (2016). Effects of CO₂ elevation and irrigation regimes on leaf gas exchange, plant water relations, and water use efficiency of two tomato cultivars. *Agricultural Water Management* 169:26-33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.02.015>
- Pennisi G, Orsini F, Blasioli S, Cellini A, Crepaldi A, Braschi I, ... Stanghellini C (2019). Resource use efficiency of indoor lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) cultivation as affected by red: blue ratio provided by LED lighting. *Scientific Reports* 9(1):1-11.
- Poudel M, Dunn B (2017). Greenhouse carbon dioxide supplementation. Oklahoma State University, pp 6723.
- Prioul JL, Brangeon J, Reyss A (1980). Interaction between external and internal conditions in the development of photosynthetic features in a grass leaf: I. Regional responses along a leaf during and after low-light or high-light acclimation. *Plant Physiology* 66(4):762-769. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.66.4.762>
- Quail PH (2002). Phytochrome photosensory signalling networks. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* 3(2):85-93. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm728>
- Robredo A, Pérez-López U, Maza HS, González-Moro B, Lacuesta M, Mena-Petite A (2007). Elevated CO₂ alleviates the impact of drought on barley improving water status by lowering stomatal conductance and delaying its effects on photosynthesis. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 59(3):252-263.
- Rogers A, Allen DJ, Davey PA, Morgan PB, Ainsworth EA, Bernacchi CJ, ... Long SP (2004). Leaf photosynthesis and carbohydrate dynamics of soybeans grown throughout their life-cycle under free-air carbon dioxide enrichment. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 27(4):449-458. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2004.01163.x>
- Sack FD (2004). Yoda would be proud: Valves for land plants. *Plant Science* 304(5676):1461-1462. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1099445>
- Sage RF, Sharkey TD, Seemann JR (1989) Acclimation of photosynthesis to elevated CO₂ in five C₃ species. *Plant Physiology* 89(2):590-596. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.89.2.590>
- Savvides A, Fanourakis D, van Ieperen W (2012). Co-ordination of hydraulic and stomatal conductances across light qualities in cucumber leaves. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 63(3):1135-1143. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err348>
- Sørensen HK, Fanourakis D, Tsaniklidis G, Bouranis D, Rezaei Nejad A, Ottosen C-O (2020) Using artificial lighting based on electricity price without a negative impact on growth, visual quality or stomatal closing response in *Passiflora*. *Scientia Horticulturae* 267:109354.

- Steinger T, Roy B, Stanton M (2003). Evolution in stressful environments II: adaptive value and costs of plasticity in response to low light in *Sinapis arvensis*. *Evolution Biological* 16(2):313-323. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.2003.00518.x>
- Tabari H, Kisi O, Ezani A, Hosseinzadeh Talae P (2012). SVM, ANFIS, regression and climate-based models for reference evapotranspiration modeling using limited climate data in a semi-arid highland environment. *Journal of Hydrology* 444:78-89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.04.007>
- Tausz M, Posch ST, Norton RM, Fitzgerald GJ, Nicolas ME, Seneweera S (2013). Understanding crop physiology to select breeding targets and improve crop management under increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 88:71-80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2011.12.005>
- Thomas PW, Woodward FI, Quick WP (2004). Systemic irradiance signaling in tobacco. *New Phytologist* 161(1):193-198. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00954.x>
- Vahdati K, Asayesh ZM, Aliniaiefard S, Leslie C (2017). Improvement of *ex vitro* desiccation through elevation of CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere of culture vessels during *in vitro* growth. *HortScience* 52(7):1006-1012. <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI11922-17>
- Van Meeteren U, Aliniaiefard S (2016). Stomata and postharvest physiology. In: *Postharvest ripening physiology of crops*. Taylor and Francis.
- Van Straten G, Willigenburg G, Van Henten EJ, Oorteghem V (2010). *Optimal control of greenhouse cultivation*. CRC Press.
- Woodward SJR, Barker DJ, Zyskowski RF (2001). A practical model for predicting soil water deficit in New Zealand pastures. *New Zealand Journal of Agriculture Research* 44(1):91-109. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00288233.2001.9513464>
- Wu D-X, Wang G-X, Bai Y-F, Liao J-X (2004). Effects of elevated CO₂ concentration on growth, water use yield and grain quality of wheat under two soil water levels. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 104(3):493-507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agec.2004.01.018>
- Yu W, Liu Y, Song L, Jacobs D F, Du X, Ying Y, Shao Q, Wu J (2016). Effect of differential light quality on morphology, photosynthesis, and antioxidant enzyme activity in *Camptotheca acuminata* seedlings. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* 36(1):148-160. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00857>
- Zhang S, Ma K, Chen L (2003). Response of photosynthetic plasticity of *Paeonia suffruticosa* to changed light environments. *Environmental Experience Botany* 49(2):121-133.
- Zhao X, Chen T, Feng B, Zhang C, Peng S, Zhang X, ... Tao L (2017). Non-photochemical quenching plays a key role in light acclimation of rice plants differing in leaf color. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7:1968. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01968>
- Zou J, Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Bian Z, Fanourakis D, Yang Q, Li T (2019) Morphological and physiological properties of indoor cultivated lettuce in response to additional far-red light. *Scientia Horticulturae* 257:108725. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2019.108725>



The journal offers free, immediate, and unrestricted access to peer-reviewed research and scholarly work. Users are allowed to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of the articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author.

License - Articles published in *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca* are Open-Access, distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) License.

© Articles by the authors; UASVM, Cluj-Napoca, Romania. The journal allows the author(s) to hold the copyright/to retain publishing rights without restriction.